Date: ____ April 1, 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Jaime Sardeneta-Rodriguez Defendant	Case No. 1:19-cr-00073-GJQ
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, lefendant be detained pending trial.	, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that woul existed – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	r life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	·
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction.	uctivo dovico or any other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has no	
	Alternative Finding	ngs (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has cor	mmitted an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	is prescribed in:*
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
<u>√</u> (1)	Alternative Finding There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	ngs (B)
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	afety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the Rea	sons for Detention
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detent a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dete	
Defer	ndant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released indant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the con	in any case.
	Part III – Directions Regar	_
correction appeal. States Co	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awas The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the personate United States marshal for a court appearance.	aiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending onsult privately with defense counsel. On order of United

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge